

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/500,296	06/28/2004	Yuji Yamazaki	081356-0218	7715
22428	7590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			RINAUDO, JO ANN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20007	•	1644	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,296	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jo Ann Rinaudo	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Jul</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/500,296 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction Requirement

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
- 4. Group I, Claims 1-7, and 9, drawn to an antibody obtained by immunizing an animal with an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO. 1 or an amino acid sequence derived from the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1 by deletion, substitution, or addition of 1 or several amino acids and had fibroblast growth factor-23 activity.
- 5. Group II, Claims 4-6, 8 and 14-16, a pharmaceutical composition which comprises two antibodies of SEQ ID NO. 1, recognizing different sites.
- 6. Group III, Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of detection of fibroblast growth factor-23, using two antibodies of SEQ ID NO. 1, recognizing different sites.
- 7. Group IV, Claims 17-19, drawn to a medical appliance which uses an anti-fibroblast growth factor-23 antibody-binding material for removing the fibroblast growth factor-23 in blood.
- 8. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/500,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

9. The inventions of Groups I-IV was found to have no special technical feature that defined a contribution of the prior art of Shimada et al. and Campbell. Shimada et al. teach the cloning of fibroblast growth factor-23. Group I includes an antibody obtained from an amino acid sequence derived from the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1 by deletion, substitution, or addition of 1 or several amino acids. The addition of several amino acids to SEQ ID NO. 1 will produce the amino acid sequence of fibroblast growth factor-23, as taught by Shimada et al., cited on the International Preliminary Examination Report. Further, Campbell teaches that it is customary now for any groups working on a macromolecule to both clone the genes coding for it and make monoclonal antibodies (see page 29, column 2, Basic research, in particular). Therefore, it would be obvious to make antibodies by immunizing an animal with polypeptides which comprise an amino acid sequence derived from the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1 by deletion, substitution, or addition of 1 or several amino acids.

10. Since Applicant's inventions did not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art, they do not have a single inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

Species Election

11. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,296

Art Unit: 1644

12. If Group I is elected, Applicant is required to elect an antibody obtained by immunizing an animal with polypeptides which comprise an amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1; <u>OR</u> a SPECIFIC amino acid sequence derived from the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1 derived by deletion, substitution, or addition of one or several amino acids.

Page 4

- 13. If Group II is elected, Applicant is required to elect the SPECIFIC two types of antibodies to amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1; OR a SPECIFIC amino acid sequence derived from the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO. 1 derived by deletion, substitution, or addition of one or several amino acids.
- 14. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 15. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 16. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).
- 17. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/500,296

Art Unit: 1644

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Page 5

- 18. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 19. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- 20. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution

Application/Control Number: 10/500,296 Page 6

Art Unit: 1644

either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 21. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jo Ann Rinaudo whose telephone number is 571.272.8143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM 5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571.272.0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.
- 23. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jo Ann Rinaudo, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

10/11/05

CHRISTINA CHAN
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600